
GAMBLING COMMISSION



REPORT OF THE GAMBLING COMMISSION FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2020

Presented to the House of Representatives pursuant to section 229 of the Gambling Act 2003

CONTENTS

The Minister of Internal Affairs

I have the honour to forward the report of the Gambling Commission (the “Commission”) for the year ended 30 June 2020.

Lisa Hansen

Chief Gambling Commissioner

Page 2	Introduction by the Chief Gambling Commissioner
Page 3	Report on activities
	Establishment of the Commission
	Casinos
	- SKYCITY Hamilton - Application to deploy 60 EGMs in exchange for three Blackjack tables
	Appeals to the Commission
	- Appeal by New Zealand Racing Board (“NZRB”) - TAB Newtown, Wellington (i)
	- Appeal by NZRB - TAB Newtown, Wellington (ii)
	- Appeal by Grassroots Trust Ltd - Lucky’s Barcade and Social, Howick, Auckland
	- Appeal by Youthtown Inc - Kina’s Sports Bar, Tokoroa
	- Appeal by Cardigan Bay Holdings Limited and The Trusts Charitable Foundation Limited - Wilson’s Sports Bar & TAB, Riccarton
	Miscellaneous
	Procedures
	Administration
	Commission Meetings
	Future Directions
Page 9	Commission Members
Page 9	Contact details for Commission
Page 10	Appendix 1
	Duties and Functions of Commission under the Gambling Act 2003
Page 11	Appendix 2
	Decisions of Commission: 2019/2020 (including Delegated Approvals of Commission)

INTRODUCTION

By the Chief Gambling Commissioner

I am pleased to present to you my annual report for the period 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020, the Commission's fifteenth full year of operation, and my first as Chief Gambling Commissioner.

The Commission has a mixture of regulatory, judicial and advisory functions in relation to gambling and the gambling sector. The functions most regularly exercised by the Commission involve determining applications for approval under casino licence conditions, amending casino licence conditions and considering appeals from gaming machine operators against decisions made by the Department of Internal Affairs (the "**Department**").

This has been a particularly challenging year due to the COVID-19 pandemic which has swept the globe in 2020. This in turn has had a significant impact on gambling in New Zealand, an impact which is likely to continue into 2021 and beyond.

In the last year the Commission determined 136 applications to amend casino licence conditions or grant approvals under casino licence conditions.

Lisa Hansen

Chief Gambling Commissioner

REPORT ON ACTIVITIES

Establishment of the Commission

The Commission was established in March 2004 by section 220 of the Gambling Act 2003 (the “Act”). The Act provides that the Commission shall comprise up to five Commissioners.

The Chief Gambling Commissioner is Lisa Hansen, a barrister based in Wellington. Lisa was a Gambling Commissioner from January 2011 to March 2020, when she was appointed as the Chief Gambling Commissioner. The other Commissioners are:

- Donna Matahaere-Atariki, who is the General Manager Royal Commission of Inquiry into Abuse of Children in State Care and Faith Based Institutions and lives in Dunedin;
- Wendie Harvey, who is a professional director and business consultant and lives in Hawke’s Bay; and
- Scott Pearson, who is a professional director and consultant and lives in Auckland.

The former Chief Gambling Commissioner, Graeme Reeves, retired from the Commission in February 2020. Graeme provided an outstanding contribution to the Commission during his tenure, which commenced in 2004. On behalf of all Commissioners who worked with Graeme, I thank him.

Following the departure of Commissioner Reeves, the Commission is operating with four, rather than five, Commissioners.

The Commission is serviced by an Auckland-based Secretariat.

The duties and functions of the Commission are set out in Appendix 1 to this report.

Casinos

The Commission is responsible for casino licensing, with the Department being responsible for operating and equipment standards, game rules and compliance. Casinos can appeal the Commission’s decisions on licensing matters to the High Court.

In the year ending 30 June 2020, the Commission made 136 decisions on applications to amend licence conditions or obtain approvals under licence conditions. Applications related mainly to approval of new signage around the casinos, revised floor layouts and new game mixes. This compares with 154 decisions made last year.

New Zealand has six casinos (new ones being prohibited under the Act), varying considerably in size, as shown below:

Casino	No. of tables	No. of gaming machines	Fully automated gaming machines
Auckland	150	1,877	240
Christchurch	36	500	-
Hamilton	23	339	-
Dunedin	12	180	-
Queenstown (Beach Street)	12	86	-
Queenstown (Steamer Wharf)	6	74	-

SKYCITY is the dominant operator in New Zealand, operating four of the six casinos: one in Auckland, one in Hamilton and two in Queenstown. The Auckland casino is by far the largest, with approval to operate up to 150 table games, 1,877 gaming machines and 240 fully automated table games. The Auckland casino previously operated up to 110 gaming tables and 1,647 gaming machines but can now deploy additional gambling products up to the abovementioned levels pursuant to the New Zealand International Convention Centre Act 2013, which gives effect to the New Zealand International Convention Centre Project and Licensing Agreement between SKYCITY and the Crown.

At present the country’s smallest casino, SKYCITY Wharf, is closed following the COVID-19 pandemic.

SKYCITY Hamilton - Application to deploy 60 EGMs in exchange for three Blackjack tables

In December 2018, SKYCITY Casino Management Limited and SKYCITY Hamilton Limited applied for variations of the conditions of the casino operator's licence in respect of SKYCITY Hamilton Casino and associated approvals for changes to the game mix, design and floor plan of the Casino. The principal condition change sought was to permit an additional 60 electronic gaming machines at the Casino in exchange for the removal of three Blackjack tables.

The Commission received 242 separate submissions from the general public, of which nine were in support and the remaining 233 opposed (and those in opposition supported by an additional 383 individuals). It also received submissions from Hamilton City Council, the Ministry of Health, Waikato District Health Board, Problem Gambling Foundation, Mapu Maia, Salvation Army Oasis, the Department of Internal Affairs, Anglican Action Mission Trust and Christchurch Casino Limited.

A public hearing took place in Hamilton between 19 and 26 November 2019 at which the Commission heard both factual and expert evidence, and detailed legal submissions from seven parties authorised to appear and be heard.

Requirements

To grant the application, the Commission had to be satisfied that the proposed application would be consistent with the Act, contribute to achieving the purpose of the Act, contribute to the efficient and effective administration of the Act, and that it would not permit an increase in the opportunities for casino gambling (as defined in section 11 and assessed under section 12 of the Act).

The two central issues at the hearing related to those statutory criteria:

- whether the proposed changes would increase opportunities for casino gambling (in breach of section 139(2)(a) and (d) and section 11); and
- what the effect of the proposed changes would be on the prevention and minimisation of harm from gambling, pursuant to section 139(2)(a) and (b) and section 3, the Act's purpose provision.

Decision

The Commission declined the Application.

It held that the proposed amendments to the licence conditions involved an opportunities substitution which

was not proportionate and which would have the effect of permitting an increase in opportunities for casino gambling, beyond its powers to amend conditions (section 139(2)(d)). In assessing the comparative casino gambling opportunities, it declined to have regard to average wager size, likely utilisation of the opportunities, the NZICC agreement covering the Auckland Casino, the risk of harm or community views (although the last two were separately relevant to other aspects of its consideration of the Application under section 139(2) of the Act). As has been the case in previous applications before the Commission, the comparison principally involved an assessment of player spaces and game speeds.

The Commission separately considered expert evidence about comparative harm. It found that EGMs and Blackjack were of similar inherent harmfulness, once appropriate qualifications were applied to the available research data, and that any increase in harm would likely be the result of greater utilisation of the new EGMs compared to the removed Blackjack tables.

The harm finding was applied to the required consideration of contribution to achieving the purpose of the Act. The Commission held that one of the eight purpose elements, "prevent and minimise harm from gambling", did not necessarily prevent it from authorising (as provided for under the Act) the proportionate substitution of currently authorised games for games which were more likely to be utilised (all of which were authorised under the Act), although doing so might require associated improvements in harm minimisation conditions.

The Commission also held that "contribute" requires an overall positive effect on the overall statutory purpose as specified in the Act. The positive effect is to be assessed across the combined purpose, not each individual purpose element. In any future application involving proportionate substitution of opportunities, the Commission would expect to receive evidence to allow it to consider the extent to which the community would additionally benefit from money from gambling, and the facilitation of community involvement in decisions about the provision of gambling, both of which were potentially applicable purpose elements.

As it held that the proposed substitution was not proportionate, the Commission declined to vary or revoke conditions of the casino operator's licence in respect of the Casino, and declined to grant the associated approvals sought, relating to game mixes, casino design and floor plans.

Appeals to the Commission

The Department exercises primary licensing and enforcement functions in relation to non-casino gambling (gaming machines and large-scale lotteries). As at 30 June 2020, there were 223 licensed Class 4 gambling operators, 1,073 gaming machine venues and 14,847 gaming machines in New Zealand (down from 235, 1,094 and 15,007 respectively in 2019, and down significantly from the 25,221 gaming machines that were in place in June 2003).

Operators have rights of appeal to the Commission against decisions made by the Department in circumstances including loss of licence, enforcement action and in relation to the imposition of licence conditions by the Department.

The Commission determined five appeals in the year ending 30 June 2020.

An appeal is heard on a de novo basis, meaning that the Commission considers the matter afresh.

Decision GC17/19 – Appeal by NZRB – TAB Newtown, Wellington (i)

NZRB appealed against what was said to be a decision made by the Secretary for Internal Affairs declining NZRB's application for a new Class 4 venue licence for the TAB Newtown.

NZRB alleged that the Secretary declined NZRB's application during a phone call between one of his staff and NZRB's counsel. NZRB lodged an appeal against that alleged decision. A formal decision letter was subsequently issued by the Secretary, after the appeal had been filed.

The issue for determination was whether the Commission had jurisdiction to hear the purported appeal.

The issue raised by the notice of appeal was: at what point is there a decision which may be appealed pursuant to section 77(1)(a)? More specifically, is a decision made only when notice of it is given and, if so, what sort of notice?

The Act does not expressly define what constitutes an appealable decision, but creates a framework and resulting expectation for how appealable decisions should be formally communicated and when appeal rights arise.

In the Commission's view, a decision on an application is appealable once it has been formally communicated

in a way indicating that it is not provisional or preliminary, or otherwise indicating that it is final.

In this case, the contents of the phone call could not be considered to be a formal and final communication. At most, it could be considered a tentative and informal indication of a decision that would be communicated finally and formally at a later date.

In the Commission's view, no decision was made, and there was nothing capable of appeal, until the formal decline notice was given.

Decision GC24/19 – Appeal by NZRB – TAB Newtown, Wellington (ii)

NZRB appealed against a decision made by the Secretary refusing NZRB's application for a new Class 4 venue licence for the TAB Newtown.

The central question for the Commission was whether the proposed Class 4 venue (TAB Newtown) was part of a place at which Zoo Bar, another Class 4 venue, was located.

The Commission was of the view that ordinary members of the public would think that TAB Newtown and Zoo Bar were separate businesses because, amongst other things, they had different street addresses, external entrances, names, fit out, entertainment offerings and trading hours. With one exception, they were physically separated. The exception was the presence of internal doors between TAB Newtown and Zoo Bar.

In order to reach a conclusion that the two venues were not part of the same place, the Commission considered it necessary for the access door to be removed completely. The Commission concluded that, but for the internal doorway, the TAB Newtown and Zoo Bar were not part of the same place under section 67(1)(l) and, if the doors were removed, the licence could be granted.

Decision 09/20 – Appeal by Grassroots Trust Limited - Lucky's Barcade and Social, Howick, Auckland

Grassroots appealed against a decision by the Secretary to issue a Class 4 venue licence for Lucky's Barcade and Social allowing only nine gaming machines to be operated. Grassroots appealed on the basis that the licence should have been backdated to allow it to operate 18 gaming machines, pursuant to the "grandparenting" provision in section 92 of the Act.

The sole issue on appeal was whether the Secretary should have exercised his discretion to backdate the

licence so that it retrospectively commenced within six months from when the prior licence was surrendered. If he had done so, an entitlement by Grassroots to operate 18 gaming machines under section 92 would have been retained.

Based upon the approach taken in previous decisions, the Commission concluded that the venue had not maintained in substance the degree of continuity of operation ordinarily required to justify the exercise of the discretion to backdate the licence.

The Commission, however, determined that the outcome in this case was affected by another matter; namely an email exchange between the Appellant and the Secretary which contained an unambiguous representation by the Secretary that the application would be backdated if granted.

Grassroots, and the venue operator, in reliance on that representation, designed the venue to be capable of holding 18 machines, and spent considerable resources and effort in redeveloping and fitting out the premises.

The Commission reversed the decision of the Secretary and exercised its discretion to backdate the licence.

Decision GC10/20 – Appeal by Youthtown Inc - Kina’s Sports Bar, Tokoroa

Youthtown appealed against a decision by the Secretary to issue a Class 4 venue licence for Kina’s Sports Bar, allowing no more than nine gaming machines to be operated. It submitted that the licence should allow it to operate 16 gaming machines, pursuant to the “grandparenting” provision in section 92 of the Act.

The appeal raised two key issues for determination by the Commission:

- whether the previous Class 4 licence holder for the premises, Pockets 8 Ball Club, surrendered its Class 4 licence on 10 December 2018, as the Secretary submitted, or 5 December 2019, as Youthtown submitted. If Youthtown was correct, a period of more than six months in which no Class 4 venue licence was held for the premises had not expired by the date on which the issued licence commenced and Youthtown would have been entitled to a licence to operate 16 machines under section 92.

- if the Secretary was correct, whether the Commission considered that the licence should be backdated so as to commence within six months from 5 December 2018, preserving continuity under section 92 so that it could permit the operation of 16 machines.

The Commission had not previously considered the requirements for an effective surrender under section 79 of the Act. The Act does not impose any formal requirements for a surrender of a Class 4 venue licence. Section 79 provides both an ability to surrender a Class 4 venue licence voluntarily at any time and an obligation to surrender a Class 4 venue licence in certain circumstances.

The Act specifies no particular process for surrender but, as the act of surrender brings a licence to an end without statutory remedy (such as appeal), the statutory regime suggests that certainty about surrender is desirable. There is good reason to require clear and unqualified communication of a decision to surrender the licence. In the Commission’s view, the communication in question should be construed on its terms, and in the light of the immediately surrounding circumstances, in deciding whether it sufficiently communicates an unambiguous decision by the licence holder to surrender the licence.

When the surrender took place is a question of fact to be determined on the basis of an objective assessment of the communications in question and the surrounding circumstances.

A majority of the Commission considered, on balance, that the earlier communications fell just short of constituting a sufficiently clear and unequivocal surrender. The words were insufficiently clear and unequivocal that the licence was being given up, not just the operation being closed. Because of the consequences of surrender, the majority of Commissioners were not prepared to conclude that the communications were sufficiently clear and unambiguous.

A minority of the Commission concluded that there was sufficient clarity about the objective intent of the earlier communications such as to indicate that both the former licence holder and the Secretary understood it to convey surrender.

Decision GC11/20 – Appeal by Cardigan Bay Holdings Limited and The Trusts Charitable Foundation Limited - Wilson’s Sports Bar & TAB, Riccarton

The Appellants appealed against a decision made by the Secretary refusing TTCF’s application for a new Class 4 venue licence for premises known as Wilson’s Sports Bar & TAB.

The Secretary requested information about all members of the Board and executive staff of Harness Racing New Zealand Incorporated, of which the venue operator, CBHL, was a wholly owned subsidiary. Not all of the requested information was provided. The Secretary refused to grant the application, because he could not be satisfied, under section 67(1)(d), (r) and (s) of the Act, that key persons of the Class 4 venue were suitable (in terms of section 68 of the Act) and, under section 67(1)(r) and (s) of the Act, about the likelihood of compliance with the Act, specifically the potential for involvement in activities or decisions prohibited by section 113 of the Act.

The principal issue for determination was whether the Commission was satisfied that all members of the Board and executive staff of HRNZ were not key persons in respect of TTCF’s application for a Class 4 venue licence for Wilson’s Bar.

The Commission was not satisfied that all members of the HRNZ Board and all HRNZ executive staff are not key persons in respect of Wilson’s Bar. It found that the HRNZ board members are key persons on the balance of probabilities and any member of the HRNZ staff involved in bookkeeping and payroll services is similarly a key person.

Miscellaneous

In decision GC21/19, the Commission amended the host responsibility licence conditions for all six casinos, to extend the period between the reviews of the Host Responsibility Programmes for each casino from every two years to every three years. The Commission extended the period because, having undertaken numerous HRP reviews for each casino since 2006, it was of the view that the value in reviewing them every two years had diminished, with reviews every three years being sufficient.

In the year ended 30 June 2020, the Commission completed its review of the HRPs for the Dunedin and Christchurch Casinos.

The Commission maintained regular contact with stakeholders throughout the year, including representatives from all six casinos, the Class 4 sector, the Department, the Ministry of Health, researchers and treatment providers. The Commission also met with gambling regulators in Australian jurisdictions and briefed them on the Commission’s work.

The Commission continues to keep abreast of relevant gambling issues at both governance and management levels.

Procedures

In exercising its functions, the Commission has wide powers to determine its own procedures, to engage experts and to receive evidence. The policies and procedures developed by the Commission, and the decisions which it makes, are all published on its website.

Appeal proceedings are run along judicial lines. Procedures involve filing of submissions and affidavit evidence by the appellant, submissions and evidence in response by the respondent Secretary, and submissions and evidence in reply from the appellant.

The Commission consults on applications to amend licence conditions with the casino licence holder, the Secretary and affected persons.

The Commission can, and does, obtain independent legal advice in relation to matters under consideration – both casino applications and appeals.

Apart from its decisions, the Commission (mainly through the Commission Secretariat) communicates on an ongoing basis with stakeholders.

Administration

Under the Act, the Commission has no power to acquire, hold or alienate property, or to employ staff. Instead, the Act requires the Department to service the Commission, by arranging the administrative services necessary for the Commission to perform its functions.

The Act also requires the Commission to make decisions independently of the Minister and the Secretary, and to have a stand-alone office. This is located in Auckland.

The Commission is funded from the Department's Vote but, as noted above, is functionally independent. The Commission's annual expenditure is variable, depending upon the volume of applications and appeals before it, and any litigation with which it is involved.

Commission Meetings

The Commission met 12 times during the year under review: 5 July, 2 August, 6 September, 11 October, 8 November, 19-26 November, 6 December 2019, and 3 February, 13 March, 17 April, 15 May and 12 June 2020. The April, May and June meetings were conducted via video conference due to the restrictions on movement imposed as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Executive Director and a Gambling Commissioner attended the International Association of Gaming Regulators Conference in Jamaica in September/October 2019.

The Executive Director was invited to speak at the 5th Singapore Symposium on Gambling Regulation in Singapore in August 2019.

The Executive Director attended the Australasian Casino & Gaming Regulators' CEOs meeting by video conference on 20 May 2020.

The Annual Conference of Australasian Gambling Regulators, which was due to take place in Darwin, was postponed due to the restrictions on movement imposed as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Future Directions

The focus of the Commission in 2020/2021 will be the following activities:

- Undertake a nationwide review of the contributions made by each casino to their independent charitable trust.
- The ongoing effective discharge of its licensing and appeal functions.
- Continue to meet with the sector.
- Keep the Minister informed of developments relating to the Commission's functions.

COMMISSION MEMBERS

Lisa Hansen

Barrister
Wellington
Appointed January 2011
Term expiring November 2022

Donna Matahaere-Atariki

GM Royal Commission of Inquiry into Abuse of Children
in State Care and Faith Based Institutions
Dunedin
Appointed June 2014
Term expiring June 2020

Wendie Harvey

Professional Director and Business Consultant
Napier
Appointed July 2014
Term expiring December 2021

Scott Pearson

Professional Director and Business Consultant
Auckland
Appointed December 2018
Term expiring December 2021

CONTACT DETAILS FOR COMMISSION

Level 2
41 Bath Street
Parnell
Auckland 1052
Tel: (09) 353 7223

Website

www.gamblingcom.govt.nz

Email

info@gamblingcom.govt.nz

APPENDIX 1

Duties and Functions of the Commission under the Act

The Commission is an independent decision-making body with the powers of a Commission of Inquiry. Its functions are wide-ranging, and include the following:

- specifying, varying and revoking casino licence conditions
- considering and determining applications for casino operators' licences and the renewal of casino venue licences
- approving agreements and changes to agreements between casino operators and casino venue licence holders
- considering and determining appeals against regulatory and licensing decisions made by the Department in respect of Class 3 and Class 4 gambling. Class 3 gambling involves prizes of more than \$5,000, but does not take place at a casino or involve gaming machines. Class 4 gambling relates to non-casino gaming machine operations
- considering and dealing with complaints about the way the Department has handled complaints in relation to Class 4 gambling
- advising the Minister for Internal Affairs on matters relating to the performance of the Commission's functions and the administration of the Act, either at the Minister's request or on its own initiative
- advising Ministers and facilitating consultation on the setting of the problem gambling levy.

APPENDIX 2

Decisions of the Commission: 2019/2020

Decision		Status
GC14/19	Application by SKYCITY Casino Management Limited ("SCML") for approval of a new game mix Annex for the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC15/19	Decision on the status of submissions made to the Gambling Commission in relation to the application by SCML and SKYCITY Hamilton Limited ("SHL") to substitute gaming machines for gaming tables at the Hamilton Casino	-
GC16/19	Applications for authorisation to appear and be heard at the public hearing of the application by SCML and SHL to substitute gaming machines for gaming tables at the Hamilton Casino	-
GC17/19	Appeal by NZRB re TAB Newtown	Dismissed
GC18/19	Application by SCAL to vary the licence conditions attached to its casino venue licence	Approved
GC19/19	Amendment by the Gambling Commission of the Host Responsibility Programmes for the Auckland, Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos	Approved
GC20/19	Amendment by the Gambling Commission of the Host Responsibility Programme for Dunedin Casino	Approved
GC21/19	Decision on a proposal by the Gambling Commission to vary host responsibility conditions for the Auckland, Hamilton, Christchurch, Dunedin, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos	Approved
GC22/19	Application by Christchurch Casinos Limited ("CCL") for approval of new game mixes	Approved
GC23/19	Application by SCAL for approval of a temporary cashiering facility at the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC24/19	Appeal by NZRB re TAB Newtown	Dismissed
GC25/19	Application by SCML for approval to deploy a new wagering option on the Baccarat tables at the Auckland, Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos	Approved
GC26/19	Application by SCML for approval of a new game mix Annex for the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC27/19	Application by CCL to vary licence conditions attached to its venue and operator's licences, and for approval of construction and design changes	Approved
GC28/19	Application by SCML and Otago Casinos Limited to relinquish part of the premises at the Wharf Casino	Approved
GC29/19	Application by CCL for approval of new game mixes for the Christchurch Casino	Approved
GC30/19	Application by SCML for approval to introduce a new card shoe at the Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos, and to introduce a new type of card shuffler at the Auckland, Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos	Approved
GC31/19	Application by SCML for approval of a new game mix Annex, Annex B, for the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC01/20	Amendment by the Gambling Commission of the Host Responsibility Programme for the Christchurch Casino	Approved
GC02/20	Application by CCL to amend licence conditions 8 and 15 attached to its operator's licence	Partially Approved
GC03/20	Application by SCML to install two new cash-dispensing EFTPOS terminals in the Gambling Area at the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC04/20	Application by SCAL to redesignate the Gambling Area on level 3 of the Auckland Casino	Approved
GC05/20	Application by SCML to deploy new wagering options on the Roulette tables at the Auckland, Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos	Approved

GC06/20	Application by Dunedin Casinos Management Limited ("DCML") for approval of new game mixes at the Dunedin Casino	Approved
GC07/20	Application by CCL for approval of a temporary cashiering facility at the Christchurch Casino	Approved
GC08/20	Application by SCML for approval to deploy two mobile EFTPOS terminals in the Gambling Area of the Auckland Casino for the purchase of gaming machine tickets and chips	Approved
GC09/20	Appeal by Grassroots Trust Ltd - Lucky's Barcade and Social	Allowed
GC10/20	Appeal by Youhtown Inc in respect of the premises known as Kina's Sports Bar	Allowed
GC11/20	Appeal by Cardigan Bay Holdings Limited and The Trusts Charitable Foundation Limited in respect of the premises known as Wilson's Sports Bar & TAB	Declined
GC12/20	Application by SCML for temporary approvals under condition 8 of its operator's licences for the Hamilton and Queenstown Casinos	Approved
GC13/20	Application by SCAL to vary conditions 9 and 9A of its venue licence	Approved
GC14/20	Application by SCML for approval to deploy mobile EFTPOS terminals in the Gambling Areas of the Auckland, Hamilton, Queenstown and Wharf Casinos; and for a temporary approval to deploy two mobile EFTPOS terminals in the Gambling Area of the Auckland Casino for the purchase of gaming machine tickets and chips	Approved
GC15/20	Application by CCL for approval of two temporary cashiering facilities at the Christchurch Casino	Approved
GC16/20	Application by SHL to substitute gaming machines for gaming tables	Declined
GC17/20	Application by CCL for approval of a new game mix Annex (addition of electronic Baccarat to the electronic Roulette table), and to introduce a new type of card shuffler	Declined

Delegated Approvals allowed by the Commission: 2019/2020

4-Jul-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
4-Jul-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
4-Jul-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
11-Jul-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
15-Jul-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
18-Jul-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
23-Jul-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
26-Jul-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
5-Aug-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
5-Aug-19	SCAL	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
7-Aug-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
10-Aug-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
13-Aug-19	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
15-Aug-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
15-Aug-19	SCAL	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
26-Aug-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
10-Sep-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
12-Sep-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
16-Sep-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
19-Sep-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
24-Sep-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
27-Sep-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
27-Sep-19	SCML	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
1-Oct-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
1-Oct-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
2-Oct-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
11-Oct-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
16-Oct-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
24-Oct-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
1-Nov-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
1-Nov-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
6-Nov-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
8-Nov-19	SCML	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
2-Dec-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino

2-Dec-19	QCL	Application for approval of signage at the Queenstown Casino
2-Dec-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
2-Dec-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
6-Dec-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
10-Dec-19	DCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Dunedin Casino
11-Dec-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
11-Dec-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
12-Dec-19	SCML	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
13-Dec-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
16-Dec-19	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
17-Dec-19	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
17-Dec-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
18-Dec-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
19-Dec-19	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
20-Dec-19	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
10-Jan-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
13-Jan-20	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
20-Jan-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
20-Jan-20	SCAL	Application for approval to broadcast gambling activity on its Facebook page
20-Jan-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
20-Jan-20	SCML	Application for approval of construction and design changes, and a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
22-Jan-20	CCL	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Christchurch Casino
23-Jan-20	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
3-Feb-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
18-Feb-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
18-Feb-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
24-Feb-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
26-Feb-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
2-Mar-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
4-Mar-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
11-Mar-20	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
11-Mar-20	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
11-Mar-20	SCAL	Application for approval to broadcast Baccarat games between Auckland and Adelaide Casinos
16-Mar-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
17-Mar-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
19-Mar-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
19-Mar-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino

19-Mar-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
19-Mar-20	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
20-Mar-20	CCL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Christchurch Casino
23-Mar-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
23-Mar-20	SCML	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Hamilton Casino
23-Mar-20	SCML	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Hamilton Casino
23-Mar-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
23-Mar-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
23-Mar-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
29-Apr-20	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
4-May-20	SCML/SHL	Application for approval of a new floor plan, and by SHL for approval of construction and design changes at the Hamilton Casino
5-May-20	SCML/SCAL	Application for approval of a new floor plan, and by SCAL for approval of construction and design changes at the Auckland Casino
12-May-20	SCAL	Application for approval of signage at the Auckland Casino
12-May-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
20-May-20	CCL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Christchurch Casino
20-May-20	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
20-May-20	CCL	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Christchurch Casino
20-May-20	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
21-May-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
21-May-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
25-May-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
26-May-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
28-May-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
28-May-20	SCAL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Auckland Casino
29-May-20	SCML	Application for approval of new floor plans for the Auckland Casino
4-Jun-20	CCL	Application for approval of construction and design changes to the Christchurch Casino
8-Jun-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
9-Jun-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
11-Jun-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
16-Jun-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
17-Jun-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino
19-Jun-20	SHL	Application for approval of signage at the Hamilton Casino
23-Jun-20	CCL	Application for approval of signage at the Christchurch Casino
24-Jun-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Auckland Casino
25-Jun-20	SCML	Application for approval of a new floor plan for the Hamilton Casino

